



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/488,337	01/20/2000	Evgeniy M. Getsin	IACTP010	4283
22242 7:	590 05/29/2003			
FITCH EVEN	I TABIN AND FLAN	EXAMINER		
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET SUITE 1600			AVELLINO, JOSEPH E	
CHICAGO, IL	60603-3406		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2143	13
			DATE MAILED: 05/29/2003	12

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ammilianation N		FFE
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/488,337	GETSIN ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Joseph E. Avellino	2143	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	lears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any eame	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13  SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	be timely filed  O) days will be considered timely.  Grom the mailing date of this comm	unication.
Status				
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 M			
2a)⊠		s action is non-final.		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowa closed in accordance with the practice under <i>l</i> on of Claims	nce except for formal matters Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the m I1, 453 O.G. 213.	nerits is
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.		
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌 Applicati	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or on Papers	election requirement.		
9) 🔲 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
i	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accept		- - - - - - -	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)□ T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disap	pproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in repl	y to this Office action.	•	
│ 12)☐ T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.		
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) 🗌	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).	1
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	-		
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.		
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Applic	cation No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorit application from the International Bure the attached detailed Office action for a list of	y documents have been rece	eived in this National Stag	e
14)□ Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	nriority under 35 LLS C S 44	O(a) (to a manufation to	p. p. s
a)	The translation of the foreign language provi	isional annication has been	a(e) (to a provisional app	lication).
15)∏ Á	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	20 and/or 121.	
Attachment(	s)			
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 7.	4) Interview Summ 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152	
J.S. Patent and Trac PTO-326 (Rev.		on Summary	Part of Paper No. 12	

Art Unit: 2143

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-18 are presented for examination.

# Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on May 14, 2003 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement has been considered by the examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2143

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Roberts et al. (hereinafter Roberts) (USPN 6,161,132) in view of Hazenfield (USPN 5,991,374).

5. Referring to claims 1, 7, and 13, Roberts discloses a method for storing synchronization information for subsequent playback of an event on a plurality of client apparatuses, comprising the steps of:

providing an event stored in memory on at least one of the client apparatuses, wherein the client apparatuses and a host computer (server) are adapted to be connected to a network (Internet) (col. 7, line 30 to col. 8, line 2);

storing information on the host computer for allowing the simultaneous playback of the event from the memory on each of the client apparatuses (col. 7, line 30 to col. 8, line 2);

Roberts does not disclose allowing the information to be downloaded utilizing the network for playback after the simultaneous playback. Hazenfield discloses allowing the information to be downloaded utilizing the network for playback after the simultaneous playback (col. 11, lines 5-25, 60-65). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hazenfield with Roberts to allow messages to be stored and played at a later time.

Art Unit: 2143

- 6. Referring to claims 2, 8, and 14, Roberts discloses the event includes a video and audio presentation (col. 2, lines 5-26).
- 7. Referring to claims 3, 9, and 15, Roberts discloses a method for storing synchronization information as stated above. Roberts does not disclose the information includes a history and data associated with the simultaneous playback. Hazenfield discloses the information includes a history and data associated with the simultaneous playback (col. 11, lines 5-25, 60-65). It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hazenfield with Roberts to allow a logging procedure to occur, showing the events that have transpired during the transmission.
- 8. Referring to claims 4, 10, and 16, Roberts discloses the network is a wide area network (col. 1, lines 57-61). The Office takes the Internet to be synonymous with a wide area network.
- 9. Referring to claims 5, 11, and 17, Roberts discloses the memory includes a digital video disc (DVD) (col. 2, lines 5-18).
- 10. Referring to claims 6, 12, and 18, Roberts discloses the information includes chapter information associated with the DVD (col. 4, lines 1-20). The term "track" can

be considered equivalent to a chapter on a DVD since DVD movies are segmented into chapters such as audio CD's are segmented into audio tracks.

## Response to Amendment

- 11. Applicant's arguments filed May 21, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 12. In the remarks, Applicant argues in substance that, (1) Hazenfield does not disclose simultaneous playback, and (2) a *prima facie* case of obviousness has not been constructed due to no suggestion to combine the references of Roberts et al. and Hazenfield.
- 13. As to point (1), Hazenfield discloses that the server can transmit a command to play the messages on the playlist to the intended remote sites (col. 5, lines 5-15). This is interpreted to mean simultaneously played since all the remote sites receive the control signals at approximately the same instant from the radiopaging company (col. 5, lines 40-50). In this sense the hazenfield reference teaches simultaneous playback.
- 14. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the

Art Unit: 2143

references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, It would be obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Hazenfield with Roberts to allow messages to be stored and played at a later time. Roberts does, in fact, teach a "chat room" setting (col. 7, line 30 to col. 8, line 2) which inherently stores messages in a text format. Hazenfield would be an enhancement of that feature by adding a history feature of the simultaneous playback function.

### Conclusion

15. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2143

Page 7

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph E. Avellino whose telephone number is (703) 305-7855. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A. Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7239 for regular communications and (703) 746-7238 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

JEA May 27, 2003

DAVID WILEY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100